



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.] F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,238		09/02/2003	Jesse R. Walker	P15875	4873
31817	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER	
	•	IAMSON & WYAT	KIM, WESLEY LEO		
	FIFTH AV	, SUITE 1900 'E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAN	D, OR 9	7204	2617		

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/654,238	WALKER, JESSE R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Wesley L. Kim	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 September 2003</u> . a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/654,238

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meier et al (U.S. Pub 2004/0103282 A1).

Regarding Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13, Meier teaches an article of manufacture (Fig.6;616, mobile device i.e. laptop, pda, or mobile phone) comprising a storage medium having stored thereon instructions that, when executed by a computing platform, result in an authenticated key exchange (from Fig.8, it is inherent the laptop has storage medium with instructions resulting in an authenticated key exchange), by: transmitting a probe request to an access point (Fig.8; 1st arrow transmits a probe request to an access point); and in response to the probe request, transmitting a nonce in a probe response (Fig.8; 18th arrow transmits NONCE to mobile node).

Regarding Claims 2, 7, 10, and 15, Meier teaches all the limitations as recited in claims 1, 6, 9 and 14, and Meier further teaches receiving a pairwise master key based information element as a reassociate request from a user station

Application/Control Number: 10/654,238 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

that received the transmitted nonce (Fig.8;19th arrow transmits pairwise master key base information element), wherein the user station generates the pairwise master key (PMK) based information element based on the nonce transmitted in the probe response, an additional nonce, and a message integrity code (MIC) (Fig.8;19th arrow is based on the nonce transmitted in the probe response and it includes the additional NONCE_{MN} and MIC, therefore the PMK based information element is based on the nonce transmitted in the probe response, an additional nonce, and an MIC), the message integrity code being derived from the pairwise master key (Par.93 and Par.237, the PMK derives the PTKs and the PTKs derive the MIC).

Regarding Claims 3, 6, 11 and 14, Meier teaches all the limitations as recited in claims 2, 5, 10, and 13, and Meier further teaches generating a pairwise master key response element based on the additional nonce and an additional message integrity code (Fig.8;22nd arrow is sent based on an additional MIC(see 22nd arrow) and the additional NONCE_{MN} sent earlier at the 19th arrow), the additional message integrity code being derived from the pairwise master key (Par.93 and Par.237, the PMK derives the PTKs and the PTKs derive the MIC); and transmitting the pairwise master response element as a reassociation response (Fig.8;22nd arrow is a response to the reassociation request sent at the 19th arrow).

Regarding Claims 4, 8, 12, and 16, A method as claimed in claim 3, further comprising communicating with the user station after the user station receives the reassociation response (Par.266;19-22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meier et al (U.S. Pub 2004/0103282 A1) in view of Toyoshima (U.S. Pub 2002/0080741 A1).

Regarding Claim 17, Meier teaches generating a probe request to be transmitted to an access point, and to receive a nonce transmitted in response to the probe request (See rejection of Claim 1), however Meier is silent on the apparatus, comprising: an omnidirectional antenna; a transceiver coupled to said omnidirectional antenna; and a baseband processor.

Toyoshima teaches that it is well known in the art that a mobile device comprises an omnidirectional antenna (<u>Fig.1;10</u>, antenna is omnidirectional); a transceiver (<u>Fig.1;40</u> and 50, transmitter and receiver) coupled to said omnidirectional antenna; and a baseband processor (<u>Fig.1;60</u>).

To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to modify Meier with Toyoshima, such that the apparatus, comprises: an omnidirectional antenna; a transceiver coupled to said omnidirectional antenna; and a baseband processor, to provide the components which perform what Meier already teaches, i.e. a mobile phone is capable of generating a probe request to be transmitted to an access point, and to receive a nonce transmitted in response to the probe request.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,238

Art Unit: 2617

Page 5

Regarding Claim 18, See rejection of claim 3.

Regarding Claim 19, See rejection of claim 2.

Regarding Claim 20, See rejection of claim 4.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wesley L. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-7867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lo Cey tim